

Evaluating the magnetic flux transport in the plasma sheet during geomagnetic storms using MMS + Geotail

Savvas Raptis¹, Slava Merkin¹, Shin Ohtani¹, Matina Gkioulidou¹

¹APL/JHU, Laurel, MD, US

Supported by NASA DRIVE Science Center for Geospace Storms (CGS) - 80NSSC22M0163

savvas.raptis@jhuapl.edu https://savvasraptis.github.io



Introduction & Motivation

General Context - Motivation - CGS GEOSPACE STORMS



One of CGS objectives: The role of **mesoscale plasma sheet** transport in the **ring current** build-up

To tackle this we need to establish a clear understanding of the overall plasmasheet transport during quiet and storm times.

This presentation:

- Focus on magnetic flux transport during storms
- Building towards a holistic multi-spacecraft evaluation including mass and energy transport

50% of total energy flux transported into the inner magnetosphere by mesoscale structures

https://cgs.jhuapl.edu

Sciola+ 2023

Powering the magnetotail



Credits: ESA/ATG medialab

4

Data used

Ground



SMR: Ring current index based on all ground magnetometers at geomagnetic latitudes (mlat) between -50 and +50 degrees;

Treated equivalently as DST and SYM-H indices traditionally used for geomagnetic storms (Note: differences exist)



<u>In-situ</u>

- Plasma moments from spectrometers
- Magnetic field from fluxgate magnetometer

MMS* (2015 - now) Geotail** (1992 – 2022) THEMIS (2007 – Now) - TBD



*Currently showing HPCA (~10s) results not FPI (4.5s) (i.e., H+ not ions) **Currently showing results of 12s resolution up to 2014

Methods & Results



Definitions & Criteria used

Geomagnetic storms



<u>Plasmasheet</u>

Example Criteria to find CSP





See e.g., Ohtani 2021

Disclaimer: absolute numbers can be sensitive to criteria

See e.g., Ohtani+ 2008, Guild+ 2008, Roziers+ 2009, Vo+ 2023

Savvas Raptis – Plasmasheet Convection

EGU 2024 | 15 Apr 24

Storm phases automatic classification



8

Plasmasheet Coverage per mission – Strict Criteria

Geotail (1994 – 2014)

MMS (2016 – 2024)



Plasmasheet Coverage per mission – Flexible* Criteria

Geotail (1994 – 2014)

MMS (2016 – 2024)



*Combine Ohtani 2008, Guild 2008, Roziers 2009, limit Y to 10 Re – changes in statistical properties: ~0 - 20%

Confirmation of previous results



Findings:

- 1. Ey increase during storm times
- Increase realized through Bz enhancement rather than V⊥x
- ✓ Reproduced with MMS (2015 2024)
- ✓ Reproduced with Geotail (1994 2014)
- Expand to distribution along X [GSM], and R
- Investigate potential Dawn/Dusk asymmetries

Take a step Further

1) Evaluate the distribution in X and radial distance

(-VxB)y– spatial distribution - Geotail







V⊥x – spatial distribution - Geotail



Take a step Further

1) Evaluate the distribution in X and radial distance

2) Investigate the 2D variability in XY GSM plane

(-VxB)y & median flow - XY - Geotail



ΔBz (wrt quiet phase) - XY - Geotail



ΔV⊥x (wrt quiet phase) - XY - Geotail



Storm - Main Phase Behavior



Savvas Raptis – Plasmasheet Convection

Discussion & Conclusion



Summary

During storm times:

- **1. Plasmasheet during storm times has eevated Ey** associated with **increased Bz**, and **limited enhancement of** V⊥x throughout the whole magnetotail.
- 2. During Storm times:
 - 1. Inner-Dusk observations showing more dipolar magnetic fields
 - 2. Outer-Dawn are associated to relatively faster flows

Future Work

- Validate results using FPI instrument (MMS)
- Evaluate mass and energy flux transport
- Validate findings with THEMIS mission & expand Geotail dataset to 2022
- Quantify contribution of mesoscale transient phenomena across different missions

Please contact me with thoughts, feedback, and comments: <u>savvas.raptis@jhuapledu</u>

Supported by NASA DRIVE Science Center for Geospace Storms (CGS) - 80NSSC22M0163

Extras

Future work: Connecting storms to SC coverage & to transients

MMS: 8284 | BBFs: 1414 points



Dusk – Dawn Asymmetry Velocity



Bz – spatial distribution - MMS



$V \perp x$ – spatial distribution - MMS

